UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,485	09/02/2003	Makoto Okada	21.1886C	2320
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 06/09/200 SEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700		CAO, DIEM K		
WASHINGTO	RK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2194	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/652,485	OKADA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DIEM K. CAO	2194
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/652,485 Page 2

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-7 are pending. Applicant has amended claims 1, 2 and 7.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/11/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hao et al (U.S. 5,844,553).

As to claim 1, Hao teaches

- storing a first set of reactions at a first computer (File 124, Application 123, Workstation 120; see Fig. 2 and associated text), and a second set of reactions at a second

Art Unit: 2194

computer (File 134, Application 133, Workstation 130; see Fig. 2 and associated text) including at least one reaction different from any reaction in the first set of reactions at the first computer (Done in version 2.0, and Save File and Cancel in version 1.0; col. 12, lines 25-34 and col. 11, lines 29-31), where each reaction in the first set comprises indicia of one of a plurality of operations available for performance on the first computer and execution information associated with each identified operation (a rotation motion ... rotated figured; col. 5, lines 22-29 and Applications automatically trigger their own event handlers to execute received events; col. 7, lines 1-2 and File on col. 12, lines 1-8 and 23-30), where each reaction in the second set comprises indicia of one of a plurality of operations available for performance on the second computer and execution information associated with each identified operation (a rotation motion ... rotated figured; col. 5, lines 22-29 and Applications automatically trigger their own event handlers to execute received events; col. 7, lines 1-2 and File on col. 12, lines 1-8 and 23-30);

- at a third computer (Workstation 110; see Fig. 2 and associated text), performing one or more operations available for performance at the third computer (press a button, move a mouse, type a key, a rotate motion; col. 5, lines 16-25 and col. 8, lines 4-5);
- in response to the performance one or more operation at the third computer, generating a transmission, sent via a communication path common to the first, second and third computers, comprising indicia of the one or more performed operations and information operated on by each of the one or more operations (The rotate motion would then be captured and multicast to windows 112, 122 and 132; col. 5, lines 24-25 and col. 6, lines 59-67; col. 8, lines 4-6);
- receiving the transmission at the first and second computers via the communication path (Each application would receive the motion event; col. 5, lines 24-27);

Application/Control Number: 10/652,485

Art Unit: 2194

Page 4

- at the first computer, detecting the transmission received via the communication path, and determining whether the indicia included in the received transmission corresponds to at least one of the first set of reactions (Each application would receive the motion event and operate on an associated local database to determine; col. 5, lines 25-29. It is noted that at each computer, the file includes multiple events, for example, mouse click, rotate figure, etc. and their associated event handlers. Thus, in response to received event, the system needs to determine which event is received among the list of events stored in the file in order to execute the correct event handler), and if it does (since the received event is always existed in the list of events in the file), performing an execution using the execution information associated with the one of the first set of reactions (display the rotated figure rotated figure; col. 5, lines 25-29; IEP orders them if necessary and sends the shared input events to the target application windows. Applications automatically trigger their own event handlers to execute received events; col. 6, line 65 - col. 7, line 2; and col. 9, lines 48-52 and col. 11, lines 19-21, 39-54); and

- at the second computer, determining whether the indicia included in the received transmission corresponds to at least one of the second set of reactions (Each application would receive the motion event and operate on an associated local database to determine; col. 5, lines 25-29. It is noted that at each computer, the file includes multiple events, for example, mouse click, rotate figure, etc. and their associated event handlers. Thus, in response to received event, the system needs to determine which event is received among the list of events stored in the file in order to execute the correct event handler), and if it does (since the received event is always existed in the list of events in the file), performing an execution using the execution information associated with the one of the second set of reactions (display the rotated figure rotated figure;

Art Unit: 2194

col. 5, lines 25-29; IEP orders them if necessary and sends the shared input events to the target application windows. Applications automatically trigger their own event handlers to execute received events; col. 6, line 65 - col. 7, line 2; and col. 9, lines 48-52 and col. 11, lines 19-21, 39-54).

As to claim 2, Hao teaches

- executing original operations of different operation types (press a button, move a mouse, type a key, a rotate motion; col. 5, lines 16-25 and col. 8, lines 4-5);
- when original operations are executed, transmitting messages on a communication path, common to a plurality of objects, whereby each message is receivable by the plurality of objects (The rotate motion would then be captured and multicast to windows 112, 122 and 132; col. 5, lines 24-25 and col. 6, lines 59-67; col. 8, lines 4-6), where the messages have a format shared by the objects, and where each message indicates the operation type of its corresponding executed operation (col. 10, lines 32-47); and
- when messages so transmitted to the plurality of objects are detected from the communication path and received, determining whether to react to each message based on each message's indicated operation type, and when determined to react to a given message, reacting by executing a reaction operation (Each application ... rotated figure; col. 5, lines 25-29 and multicast; col. 7, lines 1-2 and col. 9, lines 48-52 and col. 11, lines 19-21, 39-54. Applicant notes that examiner interprets when the computer receives the events, and in response, executes a corresponding handler, the computer/application must detect that the information/event is available) that is pre-associated with the message indicated operation type, where each object has

Art Unit: 2194

its own set of reaction operations including at least one reaction operation which is different from any reaction operation of another object (Done in version 2.0, and Save File and Cancel in version 1.0; col. 12, lines 25-34 and col. 11, lines 29-31) and pre-registered associations between its reaction operations an at least some of the operation types (a rotation motion ... rotated figured; col. 5, lines 22-29 and Applications automatically trigger their own event handlers to execute received events; col. 7, lines 1-2 and col. 11, lines 54-60 and File on col. 12, lines 1-8 and 23-30).

As to claim 3, Hao teaches the original operations comprises graphical user interface events, and wherein the operation types comprises types of graphical user interface events (press a button, move a mouse, type a key, a rotate motion; col. 5, lines 16-25 and col. 8, lines 4-5 and col. 10, lines 37-43).

As to claim 4, Hao teaches a message further indicates a parameter (certain amount) of the original operation that triggered the message (a 3-D figure to be rotated a certain amount; col. 5, lines 22-23), and wherein the reaction operation triggered by the message uses as its own parameter the parameter included with the message that determined the execution of the reaction operation (inherent from Each application ... the rotated amount; col. 5, lines 25-29).

As to claim 5, Hao teaches the communication path comprises a network chat channel (real-time collaboration window sessions, col. 7, lines 5-28).

As to claim 6, Hao teaches the plurality of objects comprises programs executing on different computer systems (Workstations 120, 130; see Fig. 2 and associated text).

As to method claim 7, it is the same as the computer product claim 0 claim 2 and is rejected under the same ground of rejection.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 5/11/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remarks, Applicant argued in substance that (1) Hao does not teach "storing a first set of reactions at a first computer and a second set of reactions at a second computer including at least one reaction different from any reaction in the first set of reactions at the first computer.

Examiner respectfully disagrees with the arguments:

As to the point (1), Hao teaches applications with different versions have different set of reactions, for example, Done in version 2.0, and Save File and Cancel in version 1.0 (col. 12, lines 25-34 and col. 11, lines 29-31). Therefore, the arguments are not persuasive.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DIEM K. CAO whose telephone number is (571)272-3760. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung Sough can be reached on (571) 272-6799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/DIEM K CAO/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2194

DC

June 7, 2009